

NON-EMPTINESS OF THE HEIGHT STRATA OF THE MODULI STACK OF POLARIZED K3 SURFACES

JORDAN RIZOV

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider the following problem: For a given natural number d and a prime p determine all Newton polygons of polarized K3 surfaces of degree $2d$ over fields of characteristic p . This is an analogue of the Manin problem for Newton polygons of abelian varieties. This question is equivalent to determining the non-empty height strata of the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{2d} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ of K3 surfaces with a polarization of degree $2d$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$. We prove here that if d is large enough and prime to p , then the height strata of $\mathcal{M}_{2d} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ are non-empty.

INTRODUCTION

In positive characteristic one can define interesting subvarieties of moduli spaces of abelian varieties and of curves. Such loci can be given by considering the collection of these objects having fixed certain discrete invariants, such as for instance filtrations on BT_1 -groups or Newton polygons (see [Oor01a] and [Oor01b]). A similar approach can be taken when studying moduli spaces of K3 surfaces.

To every K3 surface over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$ one associates a Newton polygon. By definition it is the Newton polygon of the F -crystal $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W(k))$. Denote by α the smallest slope of the Newton polygon of X . We define the height of X to be infinite if $\alpha = 1$ and $1/(1 - \alpha)$ otherwise. If finite, the height of a K3 surface takes integral values from 1 to 10. Denote by $\mathcal{M}_{2d}$ the moduli stack of K3 spaces with a polarization of degree $2d$ and suppose that p does not divide $2d$. We look at the subspaces $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(h)}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{2d} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ of K3 surfaces with height at least h . The collection of those 11 subspaces is called the height stratification of $\mathcal{M}_{2d} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$. One further stratifies $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(11)}$ by the Artin invariant (see for instance [Art74]). In this way we obtain a filtration of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{2d} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$

$$\mathcal{M}_{2d} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p = \mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)} \supset \mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(2)} \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(11)} = \Sigma_1 \supset \cdots \supset \Sigma_{10}.$$

The following question rises naturally.

Question. *Are all the subspaces in the height stratification of $\mathcal{M}_{2d} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ non-empty?*

This can be reformulated in the following way: For a given natural number d and a prime p determine all Newton polygons of polarized K3 surfaces of degree $2d$ over fields of characteristic p . This is an analogue of the Manin problem for Newton polygons of abelian varieties ([Man63, Conj. 2, p. 76]).

In this note we answer partially the question posed above by proving the following result.

Theorem. *For every d , large enough and prime to $p > 2$, the subspaces in the height strata of $\mathcal{M}_{2d} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ are non-empty.*

The idea of the proof is to start with a polarized abelian surface (A, λ) over k of certain degree and to use λ to construct an ample line bundle on the Kummer surface X associated to A . In this way we find $\bar{k}$ -valued points of $\mathcal{M}_{2d} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$. Making some appropriate choices of supersingular polarized abelian surfaces (A, λ) we are able to show that the height strata of $\mathcal{M}_{2d} \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ are non-empty if d is large enough. The construction gives explicit bounds for d .

The organization of this note is the following. In Section 1 we recall some definitions and give an overview of some results on the height stratification of $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$. Section 2 is devoted to Kummer surfaces. Starting with an ample line bundle on an abelian surface we describe a way of constructing ample line bundles on its associated Kummer surfaces. This allows us to find points in $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ which belong to certain height strata of $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$. In Section 3 we take this idea one step further and construct Kummer morphisms from moduli stacks of polarized abelian surfaces to moduli stacks of polarized K3 surfaces. We use these morphisms to give an affirmative answer to the question posed above in case d is large enough.

Notations

We write $\mathcal{M}_{2d}$ for the Deligne-Mumford stack of K3 spaces with a polarization of degree $2d$. It is a smooth stack over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2d])$. See [Riz05b, §4.3, Thm. 4.7] and [Riz05a, Ch. 1, §1.4.3]

If A is a ring, $A \rightarrow B$ a ring homomorphism then for any A -module (A -algebra etc.) V we will denote by V_B the B -module (B -algebra etc.) $V \otimes_A B$.

For an algebraic stack $\mathcal{F}$ over a scheme S and a morphism of schemes $S' \rightarrow S$ we will denote by $\mathcal{F}_{S'}$ the product $\mathcal{F} \times_S S'$ and consider it as an algebraic stack over S' .

We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{g,d,n}$ the moduli stack of g -dimensional abelian varieties with a polarization of degree d^2 and a Jacobi level n -structure. It is a Deligne-Mumford stack which is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}[1/dn]$. We will write $\mathcal{A}_{g,d}$ for $\mathcal{A}_{g,d,1}$.

Acknowledgments

This note contains the results of the second chapter of my Ph.D. thesis. I thank my advisors, Ben Moonen and Frans Oort for their help, their support and for everything I have learned from them. I thank the Dutch Organization for Research N.W.O. for the financial support with which my thesis was done.

1. THE HEIGHT STRATIFICATION OF $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$ and consider a K3 surface X over k . Consider the contravariant functor

$$\Phi^2 : \underline{\text{Art}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$$

from the category of local artinian schemes to abelian groups defined by

$$\Phi^2(S) = \ker(H_{\text{et}}^2(X \times S, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)).$$

This functor is representable by a formal Lie group, denoted by $\widehat{Br}(X)$ and called the *formal Brauer group* of X .

Proposition 1.1. *The formal group $\widehat{Br}(X)$ is 1-dimensional and one has the following two possibilities for it:*

- (a) *The height of $\widehat{Br}(X)$ is infinite and then $\widehat{Br}(X) \cong \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a$.*
- (b) *The height is finite. Then $\widehat{Br}(X)$ is a p -divisible group. Moreover, its height satisfies $1 \leq h(\widehat{Br}(X)) \leq 10$.*

For proofs we refer to [AM77]. From now on we will call the height of the formal Brauer group of X simply the height of X and denote it as $h(X)$.

The *Newton polygon* of X is the Newton polygon of the F -crystal $H_{\text{cris}}^2(X/W)$ where W is the ring of Witt vectors $W(k)$ (see [Ill95, §1.3 (c)]). It is the lower convex polygon starting at $(0, 0)$ and ending at $(22, 22)$. The height of a K3 surface X can be read off from its Newton polygon. If α is the smallest slope of the Newton polygon of X , then $h(X) = 1/(1 - \alpha)$ if $\alpha \neq 1$ and infinity otherwise. This follows from Corollary 3.3 in [AM77, III].

The *Hodge polygon in degree m* of a non-singular projective variety X over k is defined as the increasing convex polygon starting at $(0, 0)$, having slope i with multiplicity $h^{i, m-i} = \dim_k H^{m-i}(X, \Omega_X^i)$. For a K3 surface X the Hodge polygon in degree 2 will be called the Hodge polygon of X . The Newton polygon of a K3 surface lies on or above its Hodge polygon ([Ill95, Thm. 1.3.9]).

Recall that a K3 surface X over k is called *ordinary* if any of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $h(X) = 1$.
- (ii) The Newton and the Hodge polygon of X coincide i.e., the Newton slopes of X are 0 and 2 with multiplicity one, 1 with multiplicity 20.

A K3 surface X over k is called *supersingular* if any of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:

- (i) The height of X is infinite.
- (ii) The Newton polygon is a straight line i.e., all Newton slopes of X are 1.

The fact that the two possible ways of defining ordinary and supersingular K3 surfaces are equivalent follows from [AM77, III, Cor. 3.3].

A K3 surface X over k is called *supersingular in the sense of Shioda* if the rank of $\text{NS}(X)$ is 22. One easily sees that if a K3 surface is supersingular in the sense of Shioda, then it is supersingular. It is a conjecture of M. Artin that, conversely, a supersingular K3 surface has Néron-Severi rank 22.

Example 1.2. Let $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ be a prime number. Then the Fermat K3 surface

$$x^4 + y^4 + z^4 + w^4 = 0$$

in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^3$ is supersingular in the sense of Shioda (see [Shi75, Thm. 1]).

Let d be an integer and assume further that p does not divide $2d$. Consider the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p} = \mathcal{M}_{2d} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$ of K3 surfaces with a polarization of degree $2d$ over a basis in characteristic p . Define the height stratification of $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$ as follows: For $h \geq 1$ let $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(h)}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$

$$\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(h)}(S) = \{(X \rightarrow S, \lambda) \in \mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}(S) \mid h(X_{\bar{s}}) \geq h \text{ for every geometric point } \bar{s} \in S\}.$$

It is known that $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(h)}$ is a closed substack of $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$ of codimension at most $h - 1$. One defines a stratification of $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(11)}$ by the Artin invariant (see [Art74]). Let X be a supersingular K3 surface and let $\Delta(\text{NS}(X))$ be the discriminant of the intersection pairing on $\text{NS}(X)$

$$(\cdot, \cdot): \text{NS}(X) \times \text{NS}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

One can show that $\text{ord}_p(\Delta) = 2\sigma_0$ where σ_0 takes values $1, \dots, 10$. It is called the *Artin invariant* of X . Let Σ_i be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(11)}$ defined by

$$\Sigma_i(S) = \{(X \rightarrow S, \lambda) \in \mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}(S) \mid h(X_{\bar{s}}) = \infty \text{ and } \sigma_0(X_{\bar{s}}) \leq 11 - i \text{ for every geometric point } \bar{s} \in S\}.$$

In this way we obtain a filtration of the moduli space

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p} = \mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)} \supset \mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(2)} \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(11)} = \Sigma_1 \supset \dots \supset \Sigma_{10}.$$

This is a chain of 20 closed substacks and the dimension drops with at least one at each step.

Theorem 1.3. *For $h = 1, \dots, 10, 11$ the locus $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(h)}$, if non-empty, is of codimension $h - 1$ and for $h \neq 11$ is a local complete intersection.*

Proof. We refer to [vdGK00, sect. 13, 14 & 15]. The statement presented above is Theorem 15.1. $\square$

Remark 1.4. B. Moonen and T. Wedhorn ([MW04]) have a theory of *F-zips* which gives a scheme-theoretic and uniform definition of the filtration (1). For details we refer to Example 7.4 in *loc. cit.*

2. KUMMER SURFACES

As we mentioned in the beginning of this chapter we will use Kummer surfaces to show that the height strata of $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$ are non-empty for large enough d , prime to p . In Section 2.1 we will recall some basic facts about Kummer surfaces which we will need in the sequel. In the next section, starting with a polarized abelian surface (A, λ) we describe a way for constructing polarizations on its associated Kummer surface X . For this we make use of Seshadri constants.

2.1. Kummer Surfaces. Recall that to an abelian surface A over a field k of characteristic different from 2 we associated a K3 surface X , called the Kummer surface of A . We do this in the following way: Let $A[2]$ be the kernel of the multiplication by-2-map, let $\pi: \tilde{A} \rightarrow A$ be the blow-up of $A[2]$ and let $\tilde{E}$ be the exceptional divisor. The automorphism $[-1]_A$ lifts to an involution $[-1]_{\tilde{A}}$ on $\tilde{A}$. Let X be the quotient variety of $\tilde{A}$ by the group of automorphisms $\{\text{id}_{\tilde{A}}, [-1]_{\tilde{A}}\}$ and denote by $\iota: \tilde{A} \rightarrow X$ the quotient morphism. It is a finite map of degree 2. The variety X is the Kummer surface associated to A .

Assume further that all points in $A[2](\bar{k})$ are k -rational. Then the exceptional divisor $\tilde{E}$ on $\tilde{A}$ consists of 16 irreducible curves E'_j , $j = 1, \dots, 16$, each corresponding to a point in $A[2](\bar{k})$. We have that $(E'_j, E'_l)_{\tilde{A}} = \delta_{j,l}$ where $\delta_{j,l}$ is the Kronecker δ -function. Let us make the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}'_j &:= \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{A}}(E'_j) \text{ a line bundle on } \tilde{A}, \\ E_j &:= \iota(E'_j) \text{ a divisor on } X, \\ \mathcal{E}_j &:= \mathcal{O}_X(E_j) \text{ the corresponding line bundle on } X.\end{aligned}$$

Then one has that

$$\iota^* \mathcal{E}_j \cong \mathcal{E}'_j{}^{\otimes 2} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{E}_j, \mathcal{E}_l)_X = 2\delta_{j,l}.$$

Moreover the line bundle $\bigotimes_{j=1}^{16} \mathcal{E}_j$ is divisible by 2 in $\text{Pic}(X_{\bar{k}})$.

We turn next to some p -adic discrete invariants of Kummer surfaces. From now on we will assume that k is a field of positive characteristic different from 2. Then X is supersingular in the sense of Shioda if and only if A is supersingular. Indeed, according to [Shi79, §3, Prop. 3.1], one has that $\text{NS}(X_{\bar{k}})_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{NS}(A_{\bar{k}})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{[-1]_A} \oplus_{j=1}^{16} \mathbb{Q}$. Hence we have that $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{NS}(X) = 22$ if and only if $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{NS}(A) = 6$ which is equivalent to A being supersingular. We will determine the Newton polygon of X in term of the Newton polygon of A . To do that we shall need the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be an abelian surface and X the associated Kummer surface over k . Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$H_{\text{et}}^2(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \cong H_{\text{et}}^2(A_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \oplus_1^{16} \mathbb{Q}_l(-1).$$

Proof. This follows directly from the construction of Kummer surfaces. See for instance the proof of Lemma 2.2 in [Ito01]. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let k be a finite field of characteristic different from 2. Then one has that*

- (i) *If A is ordinary, then the Newton polygon slopes of X are $\mu_1 = 0$; $\mu_2 = \dots = \mu_5 = 1$; $\mu_6 = 2$; $\mu_j = 1$ for $j = 7, \dots, 22$. In this case X is ordinary i.e., its height is 1.*
- (ii) *If the p -rank of A is 1, then the Newton polygon slopes of X are $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1/2$; $\mu_3 = \mu_4 = 1$; $\mu_5 = \mu_6 = 3/4$; $\mu_j = 1$ for $j = 7, \dots, 22$. In this case X has height is 2.*
- (iii) *If A is supersingular, then X is supersingular and all its Newton polygon slopes are 1. In this case $\Delta(\text{NS}(A))$ and $\Delta(\text{NS}(X))$ differ only by a power of 2, hence the Artin invariant of X is 1 or 2. It is 1 if and only if A is a superspecial abelian surface.*

Proof. As k is a finite field and X and A are projective varieties one can compute the Newton polygons of A and X using étale cohomology instead of crystalline cohomology. We refer to [Ill95, 1.3, Equality (1.3.5)] for an explanation and details. We will use the relation between the étale cohomology groups of A and X given in Lemma 2.1.

If the Newton polygon slopes of A are λ_j for $j = 1, \dots, 4$, then those of X satisfy $\mu_1 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$; $\mu_2 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_3$; $\mu_3 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_4$; $\mu_4 = \lambda_2 + \lambda_3$; $\mu_5 = \lambda_2 + \lambda_4$; $\mu_6 = \lambda_3 + \lambda_4$; $\mu_i = 1$ for $i = 7, \dots, 22$. The last statement follows from [Shi79, §3, Prop. 3.1]. $\square$

2.2. Ample Line Bundles on Kummer Surfaces. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic different from 2 and consider an abelian surface A over k . Denote by X the associated Kummer surface. In this section we will show how to construct ample line bundles on X starting with an ample bundle on A . This will allow us to give explicitly points in $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$ for some d .

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on A with $\chi(\mathcal{L}) = d'$. Then by Riemann-Roch we have that $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})_A = 2d'$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and fix 16 positive integers n_j . Consider the line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ on $\tilde{A}$ given by

$$\mathcal{N} = \pi^*(\mathcal{L}^n \otimes [-1]_A^* \mathcal{L}^n) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{16} \mathcal{E}'_j^{-2n_j} \right).$$

We will compute its self-intersection and show that $\mathcal{N}$ is the pull-back of a line bundle on X .

Lemma 2.3. *With the notations as above one has:*

- (a) $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})_{\tilde{A}} = 8n^2 d' - 4 \sum_{j=1}^{16} n_j^2$;
- (b) *There exists a line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on X such that $\iota^* \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}$. The line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ is ample iff $\mathcal{N}$ is ample. Moreover if $2d = (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})_X$, then we have that*

$$d = 2n^2 d' - \sum_{j=1}^{16} n_j^2.$$

Proof. (a) Combining [Har77, Ch. V, §3, Prop. 3.2] and the fact that $[-1]_A^* \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ are algebraically equivalent we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})_{\tilde{A}} &= 2n^2 (\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})_A + 2n^2 (\mathcal{L}, [-1]_A^* \mathcal{L})_A - 4 \sum_{j=1}^{16} 16n_j^2 \\ &= 8n^2 d' - 4 \sum_{j=1}^{16} 16n_j^2. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Take a divisor $D \subset A \setminus A[2]$ such that $\mathcal{O}_A(D) = \mathcal{L}$. If $U := \tilde{A} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{16} E'_j$ and $V = X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{16} E_j$, then the map $\iota: U \rightarrow V$ is étale. Consider the divisor

$$D_1 := \iota(n\pi^*(D) + n\pi^*([-1]_A^* D))$$

on X . As $D_1 \subset V$ we see that $\iota^* D_1 = n\pi^*(D) + n\pi^*([-1]_A^* D)$. Hence if we set

$$\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{O}_X(D_1)$$

then we have that $\iota^*\mathcal{P} \cong \pi^*(\mathcal{L}^n \otimes [-1]_A^* \mathcal{L}^n)$ on $\tilde{A}$. Using the fact that $\iota^*\mathcal{E}_j \cong \mathcal{E}'_j{}^{\otimes 2}$ one sees that the line bundle

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P} \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{16} \mathcal{E}_j^{\otimes -n_j}$$

satisfies $\iota^*\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}$ on $\tilde{A}$. Since ι is a finite morphism $\mathcal{M}$ is ample on X if and only if $\mathcal{N}$ is ample on $\tilde{A}$ ([Har77, Ch. III, Exercise 5.7 (d)]).

For the self-intersection number computation one has

$$\begin{aligned} 2n^2(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})_A + 2n^2(\mathcal{L}, [-1]_A^* \mathcal{L}) - 4 \sum_{j=1}^{16} n_j^2 &= \\ = (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})_{\tilde{A}} = (\iota^*\mathcal{M}, \iota^*\mathcal{M})_{\tilde{A}} = \deg(\iota)(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})_X &= 4d \end{aligned}$$

which gives the formula from (b). $\square$

Remark 2.4. Note that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}^n \otimes [-1]_A^* \mathcal{L}^n$ comes with a natural action of $[-1]_A$. Hence its pull-back $\pi^*(\mathcal{L}^n \otimes [-1]_A^* \mathcal{L}^n)$ comes equipped with an action of $[-1]_{\tilde{A}}$. Therefore one can apply [Mum74, Ch. III §10, Thm. 1(B)] to the morphism $\iota: \tilde{A} \rightarrow X$ and conclude that $\mathcal{P} = \iota_* (\pi^*(\mathcal{L}^n \otimes [-1]_A^* \mathcal{L}^n))^{\iota^*[-1]_{\tilde{A}}}$ is the line bundle described in the proof of part (b).

Lemma 2.3 suggests a way to construct ample line bundles on the Kummer surface X . We will give sufficient conditions under which $\mathcal{N}$ is ample on $\tilde{A}$. To do this we will make use of *multiple Seshadri constants*. We will recall the definition below. For details we refer to [Bau99].

Seshadri constants. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be an ample line bundle on A and let $x_1, \dots, x_{16}$ be the points in $A[2](k)$ (recall that $k = \bar{k}$ and $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$). We make this change of notations here to avoid any possible confusion as later we will compute Seshadri constants for the ample line bundle $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \otimes [-1]_A^* \mathcal{L}^{-1}$. Let $\text{NS}(\tilde{A})_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote $\text{NS}(\tilde{A}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and let $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\tilde{A}, \mathbb{R}}$ be the induced bilinear form. We will call an element $\mathcal{R}$ of $\text{NS}(\tilde{A})_{\mathbb{R}}$ *numerically effective*, or shortly *nef*, if for any irreducible curve Γ in $\tilde{A}$ we have that $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{A}}(\Gamma))_{\tilde{A}, \mathbb{R}} \geq 0$. Further, for an element $\mathcal{R} \in \text{NS}(\tilde{A})_{\mathbb{R}}$ and a real number ϵ we will denote by $\mathcal{R}^\epsilon$ the element $\epsilon \cdot \mathcal{R} \in \text{NS}(\tilde{A})_{\mathbb{R}}$.

One shows that

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}} = \sup \left\{ \epsilon \in \mathbb{R} \mid \pi^*\mathcal{D} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{16} \mathcal{E}'_i{}^{-\epsilon} \text{ is nef in } \text{NS}(\tilde{A})_{\mathbb{R}} \right\}$$

exists. It is called the *multiple Seshadri constant* on A for $x_1, \dots, x_{16}$. An equivalent definition of the Seshadri constant ϵ can be given in the following way:

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}} = \inf \frac{(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}_A(C))_A}{\sum_{i=1}^{16} \text{mult}_{x_i} C}$$

where $\text{mult}_{x_i} C$ is the multiplicity of C at x_i and the infimum is taken over all irreducible curves C in A which pass through at least one x_i .

Remark 2.5. (1) If $0 < \delta < \epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}$, then the line bundle $\pi^*\mathcal{D} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{16} \mathcal{E}'_i{}^{-\delta}$ is nef. Moreover, one has the strict inequality

$$\left(\pi^*\mathcal{D} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{16} \mathcal{E}'_i{}^{-\delta}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{A}}(\Gamma)\right)_{\tilde{A}, \mathbb{R}} > 0$$

for any irreducible curve Γ on $\tilde{A}$.

(2) If $0 < n_i < \epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}$, then $\pi^*\mathcal{D} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{16} \mathcal{E}'_i{}^{-n_i}$ is nef. Moreover, one has the strict inequality

$$\left(\pi^*\mathcal{D} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^{16} \mathcal{E}'_i{}^{-n_i}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{A}}(\Gamma)\right)_{\tilde{A}} > 0$$

for any irreducible curve Γ on $\tilde{A}$.

These facts are clear from the second definition of ϵ .

Numerical estimates. We will apply the general results on Seshadri constants to our particular situation. To avoid confusion let us make the following convention: If A is an abelian surface, then by *an elliptic curve E in A* we shall mean an abelian subvariety E of A of dimension one.

Proposition 2.6. *Let A be an abelian surface over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic different from 2. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_{16}\}$ be the set of two-torsion points on A . Then for an ample line bundle $\mathcal{D}$ on A we are in one of the following cases:*

(a) *The Seshadri constant satisfies the inequality*

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})_A}}{16}.$$

(b) *The abelian surface A contains a curve E of genus 1 such that*

$$(2) \quad \epsilon_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}_A(E))_A}{\#\{i | x_i \in E(k)\}}.$$

Proof. See [Bau99, Prop. 8.3]. Note that in this paper the assumption $k = \mathbb{C}$ is made. However, the proof of the above proposition uses only the Hodge index theorem, the Riemann-Roch theorem and some facts about blow-ups of curves. These results are valid over any algebraically closed field. $\square$

Remark 2.7. Note that in (b) we may assume that E is an elliptic curve in A . Indeed, we have that E is a translate of an elliptic curve $E' \subset A$ by a point $a \in A$. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is ample, the line bundles $t_a^*\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are numerically equivalent. Therefore we have that

$$(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}_A(E)) = (t_a^*\mathcal{D}, t_a^*\mathcal{O}_A(E)) = (\mathcal{D}, t_a^*\mathcal{O}_A(E)) = (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}_A(E')).$$

We have further that $\#\{i | x_i \in E(k)\} \leq 4$. Indeed, all these points correspond to points $p_i = x_i - a \in E'(k)$ for which $[2]p_i = [-2]a$ is a fixed point in $E'(k)$. As the isogeny $[2]$ is

of degree 4 (on E') there are at most four such points. So we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}} &= \frac{(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}_A(E))_A}{\sum_{i=1}^{16} \text{mult}_{x_i} E} = \frac{(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}_A(E))_A}{\#\{i | x_i \in E(k)\}} = \\ &= \frac{(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}_A(E'))_A}{\#\{i | x_i \in E(k)\}} \geq \frac{(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}_A(E'))_A}{4} = \frac{(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}_A(E'))_A}{\sum_{i=1}^{16} \text{mult}_{x_i} E'} \geq \epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have equalities and we conclude that $\#\{i | x_i \in E(k)\} = 4$. We also see that $a \in A[2](k)$.

In what follows we will try to avoid case (b) of Proposition 2.6 as much as possible. The reason is that one has little control over the intersection $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}_A(E))_A$ in terms of the degree of $\mathcal{D}$. The bound in (a) increases with $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})_A$, but A can contain curves of genus 1 of any given intersection index $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}_A(E))_A$, no matter how large $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})_A$ is.

We will need the following auxiliary result which we shall apply to a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ defining the polarization λ on A (cf. the beginning of this section).

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on an abelian surface A and let $E \subset A$ be an elliptic curve.*

- (a) *Suppose that $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_A(E))_A = 1$. Then there exists an elliptic curve $E' \subset A$ such that $A \cong E \times E'$. Moreover, if $\pi_1: E \times E' \rightarrow E$ and $\pi_2: E \times E' \rightarrow E'$ are the two projections, then there exists a point $P \in E$ and a line bundle $\mathcal{G}$ on E' such that*

$$\mathcal{L} \cong \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_E(P) \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{G}.$$

- (b) *Suppose that $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_A(E))_A = m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist an elliptic curve $E' \subset A$ and an isogeny $f: E \times E' \rightarrow A$ of degree at most m .*

Proof. (a): The proof can be found in [Nak96, Lemma 2.6].

(b): Consider the homomorphism

$$\phi: A \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathcal{L}}} A^t \longrightarrow E^t$$

where $\varphi_{\mathcal{L}}$ is the map $a \mapsto t_a^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ and the second map is the dual of the inclusion $E \rightarrow A$. Let E' be the reduced subscheme of the zero component of $\ker(\phi)$. Then E' is an elliptic curve in A . Note that $\mathcal{L}|_E$ is an invertible sheaf of degree at most m hence $(E, E')_A \leq m$. Define the homomorphism $E \times E' \rightarrow A$ to be $(P, P') \mapsto P + P'$. It is surjective and its kernel is a finite group scheme hence it is an isogeny. Moreover its degree is exactly $(E, E')_A \leq m$. $\square$

To get explicit conditions under which $\mathcal{N}$ is ample on $\tilde{A}$, one has to give some explicit estimates for $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}$ for the ample line bundle $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L}^n \otimes [-1]_A^* \mathcal{L}^n$.

Lemma 2.9. *With the notations of Lemma 2.3 one has that*

(a) If $d', n, n_1, \dots, n_{16}$ satisfy the following three inequalities

$$(3) \quad 2n^2d' - \sum_{i=1}^{16} n_i > 0$$

$$(4) \quad n_i < \frac{n}{4}$$

$$(5) \quad n_i < \frac{\sqrt{n^2d'}}{8},$$

then the line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ is ample on $\tilde{A}$.

(b) Assume further that $(A, \mathcal{L})$ is not isomorphic to a polarized product of elliptic curves, as in Lemma 2.8 (a). Then for the ampleness of $\mathcal{N}$ on $\tilde{A}$ it is enough to require $n_i < n/2$ instead of (4) along with the other two inequalities (3) and (5).

Proof. (a): Suppose that the inequalities (3), (4) and (5) are fulfilled. The first one simply says that $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})_{\tilde{A}} > 0$. The second two are exactly the ones obtained from the explicit estimates for $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}$.

Assume first that $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}$ is computed by an elliptic curve E . Since $\mathcal{L}$ is ample on A one has that $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_A(E))_A \geq 1$. Hence by Proposition 2.6 we have that

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{(\mathcal{L}^n \otimes [-1]_A^* \mathcal{L}^n, \mathcal{O}_A(E))_A}{4} = \frac{2n(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_A(E))_A}{4} \geq \frac{n}{2} \geq 2n_i$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, 16$.

If $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}$ is not computed by an elliptic curve, then case (a) of Proposition 2.6 and the fact that $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D})_A = 8n^2d'$ give the estimate

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{n^2d'}}{4} \geq 2n_i.$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, 16$.

Thus if we impose these numerical conditions (3), (4) and (5) on n, d' and n_i , then by Proposition 2.6 we have that $2n_i < \epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}$. Hence by Remark 2.5 one has that $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{A}}(\Gamma))_{\tilde{A}} > 0$ for any irreducible curve Γ on $\tilde{A}$. Therefore by the Nakai-Moishezon criterion ([Har77, Ch. V, §1, Thm. 1.10]) the line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ is ample.

(b): Suppose that $(A, \mathcal{L})$ is not isomorphic to a polarized product of elliptic curves, then $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_A(E))_A \geq 2$. If $\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}}$ is computed by an elliptic curve E we have that

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}} \geq n \geq 2n_i$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, 16$. Otherwise, just like in (a) one has that

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{D}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{n^2d'}}{4} \geq 2n_i$$

for all i . Hence by the argument given in the proof of part (a) the line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ is ample. $\square$

3. KUMMER MAPS AND NON-EMPTYNESS OF THE HEIGHT STRATA

In the preceding section we gave a way to construct points in $\mathcal{M}_{2d}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ starting with points in $\mathcal{A}_{2,d'}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ for some well-chosen integers d and d' . Here we will show that this actually gives rise to morphisms between the stacks $\mathcal{A}_{2,d',2,\mathbb{F}_p}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2d,\mathbb{F}_p}$. We call these maps Kummer morphisms and we give their construction in detail in Section 3.1. We will use them in Section 3.2 to produce supersingular points in $\mathcal{M}_{2d,\mathbb{F}_p}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ for d large enough. In this way we will conclude that the height strata of $\mathcal{M}_{2d,\mathbb{F}_p}$ are non-empty for these d .

3.1. The Kummer Morphisms. We already saw that starting with an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on A with $\chi(\mathcal{L}) = d'$ and fixing integers $n, n_1, \dots, n_{16} > 0$ one produces a K3 surface X and a line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on it. This bundle is ample if further the numerical conditions from Lemma 2.9 are satisfied by $d', n, n_1, \dots, n_{16}$. It turns out that the resulting line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ depends only on the class of $\mathcal{L}$ in $\text{NS}(A)$. In other words, it depends only on the polarization $\lambda_{\mathcal{L}}$ defined by $\mathcal{L}$. Indeed, the construction

$$\mathcal{L} \mapsto \iota_* (\pi^* (\mathcal{L} \otimes [-1]_A^* \mathcal{L}))^{[-1]_A}$$

gives a homomorphism of group schemes $h: \text{Pic}_{A/k} \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{X/k}$ and since $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$ is trivial we see that h vanishes on $\text{Pic}_{A/k}^0$.

Suppose given numbers n, d' and $n_1, \dots, n_{16}$ satisfying the inequalities from Lemma 2.9 (a). Then using the remark made above one shows that starting with a polarized abelian surface (A, λ) over an algebraically closed field k one gets a polarized K3 surface $(X, \mathcal{M})$. We will generalize this construction to a general base S . To do so let us first try to find a more intrinsic way of constructing the line bundle $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an ample line bundle on A and let $\lambda = \varphi_{\mathcal{L}}$. The polarization defined by $\mathcal{L} \otimes [-1]_A^* \mathcal{L}$ is 2λ . Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the Poincaré sheaf on $A \times A^t$, where A^t is the dual abelian surface. One has an isomorphism $[-1]_A^* \mathcal{P} \cong \mathcal{P}$. Then $\mathcal{D} = (\text{id}_A \times \lambda)^* \mathcal{P}$ is a symmetric ample line bundle on A coming with an action of the group $\{\text{id}_A, [-1]_A\}$. Moreover, the polarization $\varphi_{\mathcal{D}}$ is exactly 2λ .

The line bundles $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{L} \otimes [-1]_A^* \mathcal{L}$ are isomorphic. Indeed, consider the composition

$$A \xrightarrow{\Delta} A \times A \xrightarrow{\text{id}_A \times \varphi_{\mathcal{L}}} A \times A^t.$$

where $\Delta: A \rightarrow A \times A$ is the diagonal. By construction, $(\text{id}_A \times \varphi_{\mathcal{L}})^* \mathcal{P}$ is the Mumford bundle $\Lambda(\mathcal{L})$ on $A \times A$, which pulls-back to $[2]^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-2}$ under Δ . By Corollary 3 in [Mum74, Ch. II §6] we have that

$$[2]^* \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^3 \otimes [-1]_A^* \mathcal{L}.$$

So we conclude that

$$\mathcal{L} \otimes [-1]_A^* \mathcal{L} = (\text{id}_A \times \varphi_{\mathcal{L}})^* \mathcal{P}.$$

We will use the bundle $\mathcal{D}$ to generalize the construction given in Section 2.2 in relative settings.

We need to make another observation in order to be able to define Kummer morphisms. In the previous section we worked over an algebraically closed field k . Then we made use of points in $A[2](k)$ which give rise to some exceptional divisors on the blow-up surface $\tilde{A}$. We will carry out the same idea in the relative case. In order to be able to consider

these exceptional divisors in general, for instance if the field k is not algebraically closed, we will be working with abelian surface with level 2-structure.

Let $(A \rightarrow S, \lambda, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}_{2,d',2}(S)$ be a polarized abelian scheme over a base scheme S with a Jacobi level 2-structure α . Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the Poincaré bundle on $A \times_S A^t$ where A^t is the dual abelian scheme of A . Denote by $\mathcal{D}$ the symmetric relatively ample line bundle $(\text{id}_A \times \lambda)^* \mathcal{P}$ on A (see [FC90, Ch. 1, §1, 1.6]). Consider the blow-up $\tilde{A}$ of A at $A[2]$. Then the automorphism $[-1]_A$ extends to an involution $[-1]_{\tilde{A}}$ on $\tilde{A}$. One forms the quotient X of $\tilde{A}$ by the finite automorphism group $\{\text{id}_{\tilde{A}}, [-1]_{\tilde{A}}\}$. Further we use the sheaf $\mathcal{D}$ to construct a polarization on $\mathcal{X}$. We consider the sheaf

$$\mathcal{N} = \pi^* \mathcal{D}^n \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{16} \mathcal{E}'_j^{-2n_j} \right)$$

on $\tilde{A}$ where $\mathcal{E}'_j$ are the 16 exceptional sheaves. One uses then [Mum74, Ch. III §10, Thm. 1(B)] to conclude that $\mathcal{N}$ comes from a sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on X as in Proposition 2.3 and Remark 2.4. Clearly this generalizes the construction we considered over an algebraically closed field k . The sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ is then fiberwise ample and hence S -ample by Lemma 1.10 in [Riz05b] (Lemma 1.1.10 in [Riz05a]). This S -ample line bundle gives rise to a polarization of X . Isomorphisms of polarized abelian schemes with a Jacobi level 2-structure are sent to isomorphisms of polarized K3 schemes in a natural way. In this way we get a morphism of stacks

$$K_{n,n_1,\dots,n_{16}} : \mathcal{A}_{2,d',2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2d,\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$$

sending an object $(A \rightarrow S, \lambda, \alpha) \in \mathcal{A}_{2,d',2}$ to the object $(X, \mathcal{M}) \in \mathcal{M}_{2d,\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$. We summarize this in the theorem below.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $n, d', n_1, \dots, n_{16} \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that they satisfy the numerical conditions (3), (4) and (5) of Lemma 2.9. Then there exists a morphism of algebraic stacks*

$$K_{n,n_1,\dots,n_{16}} : \mathcal{A}_{2,d',2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2d,\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$$

where $d = 2n^2d' - \sum_{j=1}^{16} n_j^2$. The morphism sends a polarized abelian surface, to its associated Kummer surface with an ample line bundle.

Definition 3.2. For any set of numbers $n, d', n_1, \dots, n_{16}$ satisfying the inequalities (3), (4) and (5) we will call the morphism $K_{n,n_1,\dots,n_{16}} : \mathcal{A}_{2,d',2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2d,\mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$ constructed in Proposition 3.1 the *Kummer morphism* (or *Kummer map*) defined by $n, d', n_1, \dots, n_{16}$.

Recall that there are some weaker conditions (Lemma 2.9 (b)) under which a polarized abelian surface, which is not isomorphic to a polarized product of elliptic curves, gives a polarized Kummer surface. We will deal with this case now. One has a natural map

$$p : \mathcal{A}_{1,1,2} \times \mathcal{A}_{1,d',2} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{2,d',2}$$

sending a pair of polarized elliptic curves to their polarized product as in Lemma 2.8. Consider the open substack

$$\mathcal{U}_{2,d',2} = \mathcal{A}_{2,d',2} \setminus p(\mathcal{A}_{1,1,2} \times \mathcal{A}_{1,d',2})$$

As we saw above one can construct a polarized Kummer surface out of any such abelian surface. In the same lines one gets

Proposition 3.3. *Let $n, d', n_1, \dots, n_{16} \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.9 (b). Then there exists a morphism of stacks*

$$K_{n, n_1, \dots, n_{16}} : \mathcal{U}_{2, d', 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{Z}[1/2]}$$

as constructed in Theorem 3.1 where $d = 2n^2 d' - \sum_{j=1}^{16} n_j^2$.

Proof. $K_{n, n_1, \dots, n_{16}}$ maps a polarized abelian surface to the polarized Kummer surface and this time one has to impose the milder conditions of Lemma 2.9 due to the fact that the polarized products of elliptic curves are excluded. $\square$

Remark 3.4. Let (A, λ, α) be an a polarized abelian surface over a finite field k of characteristic $p > 2$. Then using Lemma 2.2 we see that the point $K_{n, n_1, \dots, n_{16}}((A, \lambda, \alpha))$ in $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}(k)$ belongs to

- (i) $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(1)} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(2)}$ if A is ordinary;
- (ii) $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(2)} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}^{(3)}$ if the p rank of A is 1;
- (iii) $\Sigma_9 \setminus \Sigma_{10}$ if A is supersingular but not superspecial;
- (iv) Σ_{10} if A is superspecial.

3.2. Non-Emptiness of the Height Strata. Fix a prime number $p > 2$. We will prove here that the height strata of $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$ are non-empty for every large enough d prime to p . The idea is to use the Kummer maps and show that $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$ contains a supersingular Kummer surface. Then by Theorem 1.3 all strata are non-empty and so one has the claimed dimensions.

Theorem 3.5. *For every large enough d prime to p the height strata of $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$ are non-empty.*

We will need the following result first.

Lemma 3.6. *Every residue class modulo 2×9^2 can be represented by an integer of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{16} n_j^2$ with $1 \leq n_j \leq 4$ for all j .*

Proof. Explicit calculation. $\square$

Remark 3.7. We believe that the statement of the preceding lemma remains valid for all $n \geq 9$. In other words, all residues modulo $2n^2$ can be represented by an integer of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{16} n_j^2$ with $1 \leq n_j < \frac{n}{2}$. This is true for $n \in [9, 45]$.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. First note that if for a given d there exist numbers d' and $n_1, \dots, n_{16}$ giving a Kummer map

$$K_{d', n_1, \dots, n_{16}} : \mathcal{U}_{2, d', 2, \mathbb{F}_p} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p},$$

as in Proposition 3.3, then the height strata of $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$ are non-empty. This follows from Remark 3.4 as one can find a supersingular point in $\mathcal{U}_{2, d', 2, \mathbb{F}_p}$.

Take $n = 9$ and let $d' \geq 26$ so that the conditions of Lemma 2.9 (b) give $n_j \in [1, 4]$. By Lemma 3.6 we can pick up 162 sets of numbers $(n_1, \dots, n_{16})$, $1 \leq n_j \leq 4$ which define Kummer maps as above and such that $F(n_1, \dots, n_{16}) = \sum_{j=1}^{16} n_j^2$ gives all possible residues modulo 2×9^2 . Hence the images of $\mathcal{U}_{2, d', 2, \mathbb{F}_p}$ under those Kummer maps land in $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$ where $d = 2 \times 9^2 d' - \sum_{j=1}^{16} n_j^2$. Using this set of 162 sixteen-uples $(n_1, \dots, n_{16})$ and letting

$d' \geq 26$ vary we can construct Kummer maps for $\mathcal{F}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$ for all $d \geq 2 \times 9^2 \times 26 - 16 = 4196$. Therefore by the remark we started with the height strata of these moduli stacks are non-empty. This proves the assertion. $\square$

Using Kummer maps we saw that the height strata of $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$ are non-empty if $d \geq 4196$. On the other hand one has that the Fermat K3 surface

$$x^4 + y^4 + z^4 + w^4 = 0$$

in $\mathbb{P}^3$, which is a Kummer surface by [Shi75, Thm. 1], is supersingular if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Hence using explicit Kummer surfaces one can show the non-emptiness of the height strata in lower polarization degrees. Using the same ideas as above we will “cut some more moduli points” of abelian surfaces in order to improve the estimates in Lemma 2.9. In this way we will lower the bound for d .

First we will settle the case when d is even. Let as before A be an abelian surface and let X be the associated Kummer surface. The invertible sheaf $\sum_{j=1}^{16} \mathcal{E}_j$ is divisible by 2 in $\text{Pic}(X)$. Hence $\sum_{j=1}^{16} \mathcal{E}'_j$ comes from a line bundle on X modulo 2 torsion in $\text{Pic}(\tilde{A})$. Note that this torsion has to come from $\text{Pic}^0(A)$. So it does not change neither our constructions nor the intersection indexes we were dealing with. Consider the following subset of $\mathcal{A}_{2, d'}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$

$$U_{2, d'}^3 = \{(A, \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_{2, d'}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p) \mid \text{there does not exist an isogeny } E \times E \rightarrow A \text{ of degree } < 3\}.$$

For any d' the supersingular locus of $\mathcal{A}_{2, d', \mathbb{F}_p}$ remains non-empty because we exclude only finitely many points of it. Let $(A, \lambda) \in U_{2, d'}^3$ and let $\mathcal{L}$ be any ample line bundle on A defining the polarization λ . Then by Lemma 2.8 we have that $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_A(E))_A \geq 3$ for every elliptic curve E in A . Taking this into account and following the proofs of Lemma 2.9 and Theorem 3.1 one constructs a Kummer map of sets

$$K_{d'}: U_{2, d'}^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$$

where $n = n_1 = \dots = n_{16} = 1$, $d' \geq 32$ and $d = 2d' - 16$. Hence by Remark 3.4 we can conclude that

Corollary 3.8. *For all even $d \geq 48$ prime to p the height strata of $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$ are non-empty.*

For the odd case we will construct Kummer maps with $n_1 = 1, n_2 = \dots = n_{16} = 2$. Define as before the set

$$U_{2, d'}^8 = \{(A, \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}_{2, d'}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p) \mid \text{there does not exist an isogeny } E \times E \rightarrow A \text{ of degree } < 8\}$$

Take a point $(A, \lambda) \in U_{2, d'}^8$ and let $\mathcal{L}$ be any ample line bundle on A defining the polarization λ . Then according to Lemma 2.8 (b) we have that $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_A(E))_A \geq 9$ for all elliptic curves E in A . Just as above one constructs a Kummer map of sets

$$K_{d'}: U_{2, d'}^8 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$$

where $n = 1, n_1 = 1, n_2 = \dots = n_{16} = 2$, $d' \geq 512$ and $d = 2d' - 15 \times 4 - 1 = 2d' - 61$. Using these maps we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.9. *For every odd $d \geq 963$ prime to p the height strata of $\mathcal{M}_{2d, \mathbb{F}_p}$ are non-empty.*

REFERENCES

- [AM77] M. Artin and B. Mazur. Formal Groups Arising from Algebraic Varieties. *Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, 10:87–132, 1977.
- [Art74] M. Artin. Supersingular K3 Surfaces. *Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, 7(4e):543–568, 1974.
- [Bau99] Th. Bauer. Seshadri Constants on Algebraic Surfaces. *Math. Ann.*, 313:547–583, 1999.
- [FC90] G. Faltings and C-L. Chai. *Degenerations of Abelian Varieties*. Number 22 in *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*. Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic Geometry*, volume 52 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1977.
- [Ill95] L. Illusie. Crystalline Cohomology. In *in Motives*, S. Kleiman, U. Jansen and J.-P. Serre eds., volume 55 of *Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math.*, pages 43–70. AMS, 1995.
- [Ito01] T. Ito. Good Reduction of Kummer Surfaces. *preprint*, pages 1–17, 2001.
- [Man63] Y. I. Manin. Theory of Commutative Formal Groups over Fields of Finite Characteristic. *Russian Math. Surv.*, 18(6):1–83, 1963.
- [Mum74] D. Mumford. *Abelian Varieties*. Oxford University Press, New-York, 1974.
- [MW04] B. Moonen and T. Wedhorn. Discrete Invariants of Varieties in Positive Characteristic. *International Math. Research Not.*, 72:3855–3903, 2004.
- [Nak96] M. Nakamaye. Seshadri Constants on Abelian Varieties. *Amer. J. Math.*, 118:621–635, 1996.
- [Oor01a] F. Oort. Newton polygon strata in the moduli space of abelian varieties. In *in Moduli of abelian varieties (Texel Island, 1999)*, volume 195 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 417–440. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [Oor01b] F. Oort. A stratification of the moduli space of abelian varieties. In *in Moduli of abelian varieties (Texel Island, 1999)*, volume 195 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 345–416. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [Riz05a] J. Rizov. *Moduli of K3 Surfaces and Abelian Varieties*. PhD thesis, University of Utrecht, 2005.
- [Riz05b] J. Rizov. Moduli Stacks of Polarized K3 Surfaces in Mixed Characteristic. *preprint*, pages 1–37, 2005, math.AG/0506120.
- [Shi75] T. Shioda. Algebraic Cycles on Certain K3 Surfaces in Characteristic p . In *in Proc. Int. Conf. on Manifolds, Tokyo 1973*, pages 357–364. Univ. of Tokyo Press, 1975.
- [Shi79] T. Shioda. Supersingular K3 Surfaces. In *in Algebraic Geometry, Proc. Summer Meeting, Univ. of Copenhagen*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, pages 564–591. Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [vdGK00] G. van der Geer and T. Katsura. On a Stratification of the Moduli of K3 Surfaces. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 2(3):259–290, 2000.

MATHEMATISCH INSTITUUT, P.O. BOX 80.010, 3508 TA UTRECHT, THE NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: rizov@math.uu.nl